

1 2 3 4 5 6	MATTHEW SOLOMON (appearing pro hac vice) msolomon@cgsh.com RAHUL MUKHI (SBN 350718) rmukhi@cgsh.com JENNIFER KENNEDY PARK (SBN 34488) jkpark@cgsh.com CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP 2112 Pennsylvania Avenue Washington, DC 20037 Telephone: (202) 974-1680	BRIAN KLEIN (SBN 258486) bklein@waymakerlaw.com ASHLEY MARTABANO (SBN 236357) amartabano@waymakerlaw.com WAYMAKER LLP 515 S. Flower Street, Suite 3500 Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (424) 652-7814
8	Attorneys for Defendants Payward Inc. and Payward Ventures, Inc.	
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
12		
13	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	CASE NO.: 3:23-cv-06003-WHO
14	Plaintiff,	Judge: Hon. William H. Orrick III Complaint Filed: November 20, 2023
15	V.	Current Initial CMC Date: February 20, 2024 Trial Date: None
16	PAYWARD, INC.;	DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE
17	PAYWARD VENTURES, INC.,	MOTION TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
18	Defendants.	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-1(b), 6-3, and 7-11, and Section 1(d) of the Court's Case
Management Conference Order, Defendants Payward, Inc. and Payward Ventures, Inc.

("Defendants") respectfully submit this motion ("Continuance Motion"), seeking to continue the initial Case Management Conference ("CMC") currently set for February 20, 2024. To the extent the Court believes a CMC is necessary in light of Defendants' anticipated motion seeking dismissal of the complaint (the "Dismissal Motion"), Defendants request that the CMC be set for the same date as the hearing on the Dismissal Motion. Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC," and together with Defendants, the "Parties") does not oppose this request. This Continuance Motion is supported by the Declaration of Rahul Mukhi ("Mukhi Decl.") and accompanied by a proposed

ARGUMENT

On November 20, 2023, the SEC filed the complaint in the above-captioned action (the "Complaint," ECF No. 1). On December 8, 2023, the Court entered an Order setting the initial CMC for February 20, 2024 (ECF No. 17). On January 10, 2024, the Parties stipulated to a briefing schedule on the Dismissal Motion providing that Defendants shall file any motion seeking dismissal on or before February 22, 2024, Plaintiff shall file its opposition on or before April 9, 2024, and Defendants shall file a reply in support of the Motion on or before May 9, 2024, with a hearing on the Motion noticed for June 12, 2024. The Dismissal Motion will seek to dismiss the Complaint in its entirety. (Mukhi Decl. ¶ 5.)

This Court has discretion to continue the CMC under Rule 16. Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4). The Court has "wide discretion in controlling discovery." *Little v. City of Seattle*, 863 F.2d 681, 685 (9th Cir. 1988); *see also Arcell v. Google LLC*, No. 5:22-cv-02499-EJD, 2022 WL 16557600 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 31, 2022) (granting motion to stay discovery pending ruling on motion to dismiss). Courts in this district apply a two-part test to evaluate whether good cause exists to continue the CMC and stay discovery in light of a pending dispositive motion: (1) whether the motion will potentially dispose of the entire case, and (2) whether the court can decide the dispositive motion without further

discovery. See, e.g., Yamasaki v. Zicam LLC, No. 21-cv-02596-HSG, 2021 WL 3675214, at *1 2 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 19, 2021); Onuoha, 2017 WL 11681325, at *1. 3 Both "good cause" reasons are satisfied here. First, because the Dismissal Motion will seek 4 dismissal of all claims against Defendants, it will dispose of the entire case if granted. Second, no 5 discovery will be necessary to adjudicate the Dismissal Motion since Defendants' legal arguments 6 will be based on the pleadings. (Mukhi Decl. ¶ 5.) As such, good cause exists to continue the CMC. 7 For the reasons set forth above, Defendants respectfully request that this Court enter Defendants' proposed order continuing the February 20, 2024 CMC and all corresponding 9 discovery deadlines accordingly. The Defendants request that, if the Court determines that a CMC is necessary in light of the Dismissal Motion, the CMC be reset to June 12, 2024, or the date that the Court otherwise sets for the hearing on the Dismissal Motion. The SEC does not oppose this 12 request. 13 Respectfully submitted, 14 CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & WAYMAKER LLP 15 HAMILTON LLP BRIAN KLEIN (SBN 258486) 16 bklein@waymakerlaw.com ASHLEY MARTABANO (SBN 236357) By: /s/ Rahul Mukhi 17 amartabano@waymakerlaw.com Rahul Mukhi (SBN 350718) 18 MATTHEW SOLOMON (appearing pro hac vice) 19 msolomon@cgsh.com RAHUL MÜKHI (SBN 350718) 20 rmukhi@cgsh.com JENNIFER KENNEDY PARK (SBN 21 34488) jkpark@cgsh.com 22 23 Counsel for Defendants Payward, Inc. and Payward Ventures, Inc. 24 25 26 27

28